EDITORIAL PREFACE

E-Commerce Systems: Architecture, Infrastructure, Model, and Development Methodology

Akhilesh Bajaj, The University of Tulsa, USA Keng Siau, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA

INTRODUCTION

The Internet has enabled e-commerce that offers organizations and consumers a unique channel to deliver and purchase goods and services. E-commerce can be classified as B2B (business-to-business), **B2C** (business-to-consumer), and C2C (consumer-to-consumer). B2B e-commerce, which is currently the fastest-growing segment of e-commerce (Fellenstein & Wood, 2000), consists of making the flow of goods and services across organizations more efficient. This efficiency is typically increased by using standards for electronic data exchange, automating certain processes across organizations, and providing direct database access to external organizations, usually via the Internet. B2C e-commerce consists broadly of providing consumers with uninterrupted access to an organization's goods and services, with minimal geographical boundaries. This is usually done by creating a virtual store front

(Katerattanakul & Siau, 2003), consisting of components such as shopping carts, catalogs, and electronic-payment mechanisms. C2C e-commerce consists of providing distribution channels for consumers to sell goods and services to each other. Typical examples of this include auction sites, where users can auction items to each other, and consumer rating services, where consumers can share information about goods and services with each other.

E-commerce is different from traditional commerce in several aspects. First, the barriers to entry for setting up a virtual business are considerably lower than for setting up a typical "brick-and-mortar" establishment. Second, geographical barriers are considerably reduced, providing sellers access to a larger customer base. Third, aggregation of demand by several individual buyers is now possible, leading to better negotiation of prices on behalf of these buyers. Fourth, unique pricing mecha-

nisms such as "name your own price" (e.g., priceline.com) and asynchronous auctions (e.g., e-bay) are now possible. Fifth, search engines and rating sites provide aggregated information to the buyer about the different sellers of a particular good or service. Not only has the Internet forced changes to existing business models, it has also enabled new ways of doing business and providing service. Strategies for competing in the Internet age and for e-commerce may be different from traditional commerce (Nah, Siau, & Sheng, 2005; Sheng, Nah, & Siau, 2005). Also, new services such as e-banking, e-learning, e-health, e-government, and e-collaboration are enabled by the Internet (Bajaj & Ram, 2003; Erickson & Siau, 2003; Long & Siau, 2007; Siau & Kam, 2006; Siau & Long, 2006; Siau & Nah, 2006; Siau & Shen, 2006; Siau, Sheng, & Nah, 2006; Southard & Siau, 2004). Nevertheless, e-commerce raises several concerns. For example, issues such as trust, security, and privacy concerns are more profound in e-commerce (Wyse, 2006). As such, the architecture and infrastructure of an e-commerce system may be different from a traditional system. We may also need new e-commerce model and development methodology (Krogstie, Lyytinen, Opdahl, Pernici, Siau, & Smolander, 2003).

The article highlights areas of research in the construction of e-commerce applications. We do not look at lower-level issues such as communication protocols or security mechanisms, though these are certainly large areas of research. Instead, we focus on e-commerce application construction. While we do not claim to be exhaustive, our goal is to be as comprehensive as possible in covering different aspects of e-commerce application construction. The rest of this article is organized as follows. In the next section, we list different aspects of e-commerce application construction,

and indicate some research questions for each aspect. We then present some general conclusions about trends in this area in the third section.

Different Aspects of E-Commerce Application Construction

The aspects of e-commerce system construction that we will look at include the use of model-based methodologies, the use of component-based methodologies, the development of CASE tools for e-commerce construction, and the development of novel architectures of e-commerce systems.

Model-Based Methodologies

The motivation behind developing modelbased approaches to hypermedia design has been to provide a defined set of primitives that are independent of the content of the actual application. Depending on the model, these primitives are useful in describing conceptual relationships in the content, specifying navigational links, and specifying the interface prior to implementation. Recently, there has been a thrust to incorporate formal design metrics as part of a model (Bajaj & Krishnan, 1999). We describe three models briefly to give a flavor for this area: the relationship management methodology (RMM) (Isakowitz, Stohr, & Balasubramanian, 1995), the object-oriented hypermedia design method (OOHDM) (Nanard & Nanard, 1995) and the Conceptual Model for Usable Web Applications (CMU-WEB) (Bajaj & Krishnan, 1999).

The RMM model consists of entities with attributes and 1:1 and 1:n associative relationships. The subset of the attribute set of a single entity that is shown on a single HTML (hypertext markup language) page is termed a slice. Navigation between slices of the same entity is done using uni- and bi-directional links. Navigation across enti-

ties is done using indices, guided tours, or groupings. An index is a table of contents to a list of entity instances of the same type. A guided tour implements a linear path through a collection of items. A grouping is a high-level menu that provides access to other features such as indices and guided tours. Using RMM involves drawing the entity-relationship diagram first. Next, different slices are constructed from the diagram, determining how information from within entities will be grouped for display purposes. These slices are organized into pages. Next, navigation paths are constructed between these slices. Relationships are used to determine these. So, if faculty teaches courses, then the "teaches" relationship may indicate that all courses taught by a faculty member must be accessible, as also all faculty members who teach a particular course. Isakowitz et al. (1995) offer heuristic guidelines on how to construct RMM schema.

The RMM model focuses on interface construction and offers a data-centric view (derived somewhat from traditional conceptual database modeling) of the interface prior to actual implementation.

The OOHDM model comprises of four different activities: conceptual design, navigational design, abstract interface design, and implementation. These four activities may be done iteratively, with prototype-based development. In the conceptual design phase, the application is modeled using well-known object-oriented principles (Rumbaugh, Blaha, Premerlani, Eddy, & Lorensen, 1991), with additional primitives such as attribute primitives and sub-systems. The primary end product of this phase is a class diagram with relationships.

The navigation phase recognizes that navigation patterns for the same conceptual schema are dependent on the users access-

ing the application. The primitives used in this phase are nodes, links, and access structures (indices, groupings, and guided tours). Nodes represent views of class attributes, so that a node can have one or more attributes listed from one or more classes. Links are defined from relationships in the conceptual schema, though more links can be added, depending on the user profile. The navigational class schema lists all the navigational nodes, with anchors designating links between nodes. The navigational context schema lists context classes, which describe what elements of a node are visible within a particular context.

The abstract interface design phase involves creating interface level classes and objects. Essentially, the method of representation of the different objects, and the user's interaction (e.g., 'mouse-clicked') are listed here. The implementation phase involves converting the navigation and abstract interface schemas into concrete objects, using available tools.

The OOHDM model borrows heavily from object-oriented concepts, though many of its concepts are essentially similar to RMM. The navigation concept and abstract interface design are attempts to address the actual usability of the application. Both RMM and OHDM offer the ability to document the design; however, they do not address the key issue of what makes for a better design of the user interface. The recently proposed CMU-WEB model attempts to do this (Bajaj & Krishnan, 1999).

The primitives in the CMU-WEB model are information chunks, which are related using relationships, and hyperlinks, which are within and outside applications. An application consists of canvas views, each of which contains information chunks and hyperlinks. CMU-WEB has considerably fewer primitives than other methods,

thus possibly making it easier to produce schemas of real-world applications. The main advantage of CMU-WEB is that it offers quantitative metrics that can be evaluated for a particular CMU-WEB schema. These metrics measure usability requirements such as coherence of a canvas view, global coherence of the entire application, the cohesion of the information on each canvas view, and the coupling of information across canvas views. Usage of the CMU-WEB model involves coming up with a user interface plan, and then developing a CMU-WEB schema for the interface. The metrics for the schema can then be calculated. Interfaces that have already been developed can also be evaluated by creating a CMU-WEB schema for each interface and then evaluating the metrics for each schema.

Based on our survey of model-based methodologies, the key research areas appear to be the development of models that will: (a) be scalable so that they can be used for real-world applications; (b) support new systems development such as Web, e-business, knowledge management, and ERP; and (c) provide feedback on the goodness of the application, along dimensions such as usability and efficiency of the application. The standardization and continued evolution of Unified Modeling Language (UML) (Booch, Rumbaugh, & Jacobson, 2005; Erickson, Lyytinen, & Siau, 2005; Lujan-Mora & Trujillo, 2006; Mammar & Laleau, 2006; Rumbaugh, Jacobson, & Booch, 2004; Siau, Erickson, & Lee, 2005; Siau & Tan, 2006) will have a profound impact on the subsequent development of model-based methodologies.

Component-Based Methodologies

Over the last decade, the usage of librarybased components has evolved into CBSD (Component Based Software Develop-

ment) (Clements, 1995; Jin, Urban, & Dietrich, 2006). CBSD embodies the "buy, don't build" philosophy proposed by Brooks (1987) and emphasizes composing software systems, rather than programming. The development of standards such as CORBA (Common Object Request Broker Architecture), Java Beans, and COM (Component Object Model) means that several skeleton infrastructures are now available. into which pre-built components can be plugged. For example, it is possible for an organization to adopt a CORBA architecture, and to plug in CORBA components into an enterprise-wide information system, including e-commerce components. The development of software components is now a growing industry.

The main research areas in CBSD from an application construction perspective include the construction of increasingly complex components, and attempts to standardize components so that a system can be composed of components purchased from different vendors.

Research on the construction of complex components is important, because well-designed components need to have strong internal cohesion, weak coupling with other components, and a need to provide as generic an interface as possible, to create maximum reuse. Lower-level infrastructural components are relatively straightforward to define, but applicationlevel components need to follow different semantics for different applications. Thus, a low-level communication protocol component will offer the same interface to all applications, but an applicationlevel component, like a shopping cart, will work differently in different e-commerce applications. One open research question is the definition of application-level components so that they can be used in diverse applications.

The second research question related to reusability is the establishment of standards for application-level components. Standard interfaces for components such as catalogs and shopping carts will allow the creation of a market for components, so that organizations can compose systems by purchasing components from different vendors. The requirements for a standard are that it provides a generic interface and still provides flexibility, so that components from different vendors can differentiate on criteria such as efficiency, cost, and feature sets. We next briefly describe some representative standards that are evolving.

Several frameworks or models for ecommerce are evolving. Examples include the building blocks model (CEN and ISSS), the EC-DTF reference model (OMG & Net), the ecoFramework project (Net and Systems) and the Open Trading Protocol (OTP) specification (Consortium). The building blocks model, sponsored by the Information Society Standardization System (ISSS) and the European Commission DG III/B2, is an attempt to identify key components (blocks) in business processes and to identify technical solutions to each of these blocks. The EC-DTF reference model, sponsored by Object Management Group (OMG) and Commerce Net, attempts to identify objects at different levels for enabling e-commerce. At the lowest level, EC-DTF covers infrastructure services like payments. At a higher level, facilities like catalogs, brokerage, and agencies are also covered. EcoFramework, sponsored by Veo Systems and Commerce Net, is meant to be a framework of frameworks. The four frameworks that it addresses are: applications and services that model business processes; a common business language using messages and objects; an extensive set of interface specifications, class libraries, and network services; and

a layer of middleware that insulates applications from each other and platform dependencies. Recently, ecoFramework has expanded its scope to cover a variety of specifications such as Catalog Interchange Specification using the extensible markup language (XML) (Khare & Rifkin, 1997). The OTP specification is an attempt by the OTP consortium to specify a unified framework for trading over the Internet. The OTP architecture aims at identifying all the parties that play roles in e-commerce, and the sequences of key steps in electronic commerce such as delivery and payment. Examples include the consumer, the merchant, the deliverer, and the consumer care provider. OTP also seeks to identify all the transactions that can occur between these parties and then uses XML to specify the content and format of messages that constitute these transactions.

All of these frameworks or models are still evolving and, in time, may be submitted for evaluation as standards. As new technologies such as XML continue to emerge and develop (Yin & Pedersen, 2006; Zhang, Liu, Ling, Bruckner, & Tjoa, 2006), several of these frameworks incorporate the facilities offered by these technologies. Thus, document interchange specifications using XML are now being added into many frameworks (Zhao & Siau, 2007). All of these frameworks are being created by committees or consortiums, and under sponsorship. A top-down approach is being followed to create them, and in their final form they are all likely to be very large and comprehensive, encompassing both business-to-business (B2B) and business-to-consumer (B2C) e-commerce. Since all these frameworks have the same goals of identifying commerce objects and documents, it is doubtful that the business community will embrace any one of these standards for actually doing e-commerce,

over the others. Hence, the two issues of good interfaces to components as well as the definition and adoption of standards that satisfy the requirements listed earlier are likely to be open questions in research.

CASE Tools in E-Commerce Construction

While there has been some move towards developing CASE tools for e-commerce application construction, primarily from model based methodologies (e.g., OOHDM, RMM), there is a paucity of literature on the subject, and we believe this is still a relatively open area of research. Research questions in this area include: (a) how to define the primitives that need to be stored in repositories for e-commerce application construction, and (b) how to provide rapid-application development capability for e-commerce systems.

The Development of Novel Architectures for E-Commerce Applications

For this work, we define the architecture of an e-commerce application to be the application level components, and the relationships between them. An example of a traditional B2C architecture would be an information page, a catalog, a shopping cart, an order form, and a payment component, with obvious relationships between them. Over the last years, traditional architectures have evolved in all categories of e-commerce applications. An open research question is the proposal and testing of novel architectures. An example of a novel application-level architecture is the security system offered by First Virtual Holdings (Borenstein et al. 1996) that offers acceptable security using nonencryption-based techniques. The security is rooted at the application level, and stems from the sequence of simple steps

that parties involved in a transaction must follow. The advantage of this architecture is that it only requires e-mail access, and hence can be used to collect payments in low trust, less-developed economies. Another example of a novel architecture is the proposal of intelligent agents that can shop and negotiate on behalf of one party in a potential e-commerce transaction or a listing of agents that can be used for e-commerce. As new technologies, such as XML, continue to emerge, we anticipate that they will enable new application-level architectures.

CONCLUSION

In this article, we highlight some of the research areas at the application level, in the construction of e-commerce applications. The area of model-based methodologies attempts to bring discipline to the construction of primarily customized applications. The area of component-based methodologies aims to standardize the construction of applications. Applications that use components would usually not use model-based methodologies. Thus there is a tension between the two areas, with one offering the advantage of disciplined customized applications, and the other offering easier-to-build components. The former also appears to be more mature than the later, since components and component standards are only recently being proposed, and, to the best of our knowledge, none have enjoyed wide-spread acceptance yet. The development of CASE tools stems mainly from model-based methodologies, though there is no reason why CASE tools may not be proposed as repositories for components, with front-ends for composing e-commerce applications from components, as component standards emerge.

REFERENCES

- CEN, E. C. F. S., & ISSS. I. S. S. Building Blocks of Electronic Commerce. Retrieved from http://www.cenorm.be/isss/Workshop/ec/Prb.zip
- OMG, O. M. G., & Net, C. EC-DTF Reference Model. Retrieved from http://www.omg. org/pub/docs/ec/97-06-09.pdf
- Bajaj, A., & Krishnan, R. (1999). CMU-WEB: A conceptual model for usable Web applications. *Journal of Database Management*, 10(4), 33-43.
- Bajaj, A., & Ram, S. (2003). IAIS: A methodology to enable inter-agency information sharing in e-government. *Journal of Database Management*, 14(4), 59-80.
- Booch, G., Rumbaugh J., & Jacobson, I. (2005). Unified modeling language user guide, 2nd ed. Addison-Wesley.
- Brooks, F. P. (1987). No silver bullet: Essence and accidents of software engineering. *IEEE Computer*; 20(4), 10-19.
- Clements, P. C. (1995). From subroutines to subsystems: Component based software development. *The American Programmer*, 8(11).
- Consortium, O. T. P. O. Open Trading Protocol
 (). Retrieved from http://www2.echo.lu/oii/en/commerce.html#OTP
- Erickson, J., Lyytinen, K., & Siau, K. (2005). Agile modeling, agile software development, and extreme programming: The state of research. *Journal of Database Management*, 16(4), 88-100.
- Erickson, J., & Siau, K. (2003). E-ducation. *Communications of the ACM*, 46(9), 134-140.
- Fellenstein, C., & Wood, R. (2000). Exploring e-commerce, global e-business, and e-societies. Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, PTR.

- Isakowitz, T., Stohr, E.A., & Balasubramanian, P. (1995). RMM: A methodology for structured hypermedia design. *Communications of the ACM*, 38(8), 34-44.
- Jin, Y., Urban, S. D., & Dietrich, S. W. (2006). Extending the OBJECTIVE benchmark for evaluation of active rules in a distributed component integration environment. *Journal of Database Management*, 17(4), 47-69.
- Katerattanakul, P., & Siau, K. (2003). Creating a virtual store image. *Communications of the ACM*, 46(12), 226-232.
- Khare, R., & Rifkin, A. (1997). XML: A door to automated Web applications. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 1(4), 78-87.
- Krogstie, J., Lyytinen, K., Opdahl, A., Pernici,
 B., Siau, K., & Smolander, K. (2003).
 Mobile information systems Research challenges on the conceptual and logical level. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Advanced Conceptual Modeling Techniques, 2784, 124-135.
- Long, Y., & Siau, K. (2007). Social network structures in open source software development teams. *Journal of Database Management*, 18(2), 25-40.
- Lujan-Mora, S., & Trujillo, J. (2006). Physical modeling of data warehouses using UML component and deployment diagrams: Design and implementation issues. *Journal of Database Management*, 17(2), 12-42.
- Mammar, A., & Laleau, R. (2006). UB2SQL: A tool for building database applications using UML and B-formal method. *Journal of Database Management*, 17(4), 70-89.
- Nah, F., Siau, K., & Sheng, H. (2005). The value of mobile applications: A utility company study. *Communications of the ACM*, 48(2), 85-90.
- Nanard, J., & Nanard, M. (1995). Hypertext design environments and the hypertext design process. *Communications of the ACM*, 38(8), 49-56.

- Net, C., and Systems, V. eco-framework project. Retrieved from http://www.commerce. net/projects/currentprojects/eco
- Rumbaugh, J., Blaha, M., Premerlani, W., Eddy, F., & Lorensen, W. (1991). *Object oriented modeling and design*. Prentice Hall.
- Rumbaugh, J., Jacobson, I., & Booch, G. (2004). Unified modeling language reference manual, 2nd ed. Addison-Wesley Object Technology Series.
- Sheng, H., Nah, F., & Siau, K. (2005). Strategic implications of mobile technology: A case study using value-focused thinking. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 14(3), 269-290.
- Siau, K., Erickson, J., & Lee, L. (2005). Theoretical versus practical complexity: The case of UML. *Journal of Database Management*, 16(3), 40-57.
- Siau, K., & Kam, H. (2006). E-healthcare in ABC county health department (ABC-CHD): Trade-offs analysis and evaluation. *Journal of Information Technology*, 21(1), 66-71.
- Siau, K., & Long, Y. (2006). Using social development lenses to understand e-government development. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 14(1), 47-62.
- Siau, K., & Nah, F. (2006). Mobile technology in education. *IEEE Transactions on Education*, 49(2), 181-182.
- Siau, K., & Shen, Z. (2006). Mobile healthcare informatics. *Medical Informatics and the Internet in Medicine*, 31(2), 89-99.

- Siau, K., Sheng, H., & Nah, F. (2006). Use of a classroom response system to enhance classroom interactivity. *IEEE Transactions* on Education, 49(3), 398-403.
- Siau, K., & Tan X. (2006). Using cognitive mapping techniques to supplement UML and UP in information requirements determination. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 46(5), 59-66.
- Southard, P., & Siau, K. (2004). A survey of online e-banking retail initiatives. *Communications of the ACM*, 47(10), 99-102.
- Wyse, J. (2006). Location-aware query resolution for location-based mobile commerce: Performance evaluation and optimization. *Journal of Database Management*, 17(3), 41-65.
- Yin, X., & Pedersen, T. B. (2006). Evaluating XML-extended OLAP queries based on physical algebra. *Journal of Database Management*, 17(2), 85-116.
- Zhang, J., Liu, H., Ling, T. W., Bruckner, R. M., & Tjoa, A. M. (2006). A framework for efficient association rule mining in XML data. *Journal of Database Management*, 17(3), 19-40.
- Zhao, L., & Siau, K. (2007). Information mediation using metamodels -- An approach using XML and common warehouse metamodel. *Journal of Database Management*, 18(3), 69-82.

Akhilesh Bajaj is Chapman associate professor of management information systems (MIS), at the University of Tulsa. He received a B. Tech. in chemical engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, in 1989, an MBA from Cornell University in 1991, and a Ph.D. in MIS (minor in computer science) from the University of Arizona in 1997. He has published articles in several academic journals such as Management Science, IEEE Transactions on Knowledge

and Data Engineering, Information Systems, and the Journal of the Association of Information Systems. He is on the editorial board of several journals in the MIS area. His research has been funded by the department of defense (DOD).

Keng Siau is the E. J. Faulkner professor of management information systems (MIS) at the University of Nebraska, Lincoln (UNL). He is the director of the UNL-IBM Global Innovation Hub, editor-in-chief of the Journal of Database Management, and co-editor-in-chief of the Advances in Database Research series. He received his PhD degree from the University of British Columbia (UBC), where he majored in management information systems and minored in cognitive psychology. His master and bachelor degrees are in computer and information sciences from the National University of Singapore. Dr. Siau has over 200 academic publications. He has published more than 90 refereed journal articles, and these articles have appeared (or are forthcoming) in journals such as Management Information Systems Quarterly, Communications of the ACM, IEEE Computer, Information Systems Journal, Information Systems, ACM SIGMIS's Data Base, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication, IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine, IEICE Transactions on Information and Systems, Data and Knowledge Engineering, Journal of Information Technology, International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, Behaviour and Information Technology, Quarterly Journal of Electronic Commerce, and others. In addition, he has published more than 100 refereed conference papers. Professor Siau has received numerous research, teaching, and service awards. He was ranked as one of the top ten e-commerce researchers in the world (Arithmetic Rank of 7, Geometric Rank of 3) in the study by Kim, Aiken, and Vanjani, "Profiles in Electronic Commerce," Business Research Yearbook, Adams and Alkhafaji (Eds.), (2006), Vol. 13, 464-469. He received the International Federation for Information Processing (IFIP) Outstanding Service Award in 2006.